

3 MONTHS

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,233	04/01/2004	Bo-Cun Chen	SUND 509	5363
23995 7590 04/11/2007 RABIN & Berdo, PC			EXAMINER	
1101 14TH STRI			GITOMER, RALPH J	
SUITE 500 WASHINGTON	L DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WIGHTOLO	, 20 2000		1657	
			T DEL WIED	V. VODE
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

04/11/2007

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/814,233	CHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Ralph Gitomer	1657				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	ebruary 2007					
· — · — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	action is non-final.					
<i>'</i> = .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6) Claim(s) <u>2-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•				
Application Papers	,					
	· •					
• • •	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · · ·	, ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animer. Note the attached office	7.0001 01 101111 1 0-102.				
_						
• -	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	••				

Application/Control Number: 10/814,233

Art Unit: 1657

The amendment and RCE received 2/21/07 has been entered and claims 2-14 are currently pending in this application.

The claimed invention appears to be a two part method where microorganisms in water are concentrated on a filter and stained with KMnO4 to make them visible. It is well known that KMnO4 stains cells brown which was an issue when it was frequently used for treating skin conditions such as poison ivy leaving lasting brown stains on everything it contacted including skin. Concentrating cells by filtering is old. And the claims have been newly amended to include growing the microorganisms on the membrane with a nutrient solution prior to staining to make it easier to perform a colony count after staining.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/814,233

Art Unit: 1657

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of each of Lloyd and Fleming in view of Levenberg.

Lloyd (4,209,585) entitled "Method and Apparatus for the Automatic Microbiological Sampling of a Liquid Product" teaches in column 1 lines 26-43, liquid samples are passed through membranes which filter out microorganisms. The membranes are then introduced to a nutrient medium and incubated for a period of time. The cells are then stained and detected. In column 3 last full paragraph, after collection the membranes and nutrient medium are incubated. The size of the pores may be selected to filter out microorganisms larger than a predetermined size. After incubation the membrane may be subjected to staining which will disclose the presence of microorganisms.

Fleming (US 2002/0055134 A1) entitled "Method and Apparatus for Viable and Nonviable Prokaryotic and Eukaryotic Cell Quantitation" teaches in paragraph 8, samples are filtered through a membrane filter that traps the cells to be counted, dye is applied and cells counted. In paragraph 48 cell determination may be made by using dyes or stains.

The claims differ from the above references in that they specify the stain is potassium permanganate.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,233

Art Unit: 1657

Levenberg (US 2005/0031598) entitled "Engineering 3D Tissue Structures Using Differentiating Embryonic Stem Cells" teaches in paragraph 108, staining cells with potassium permanganate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made to stain the cells of the primary references with potassium permanganate as taught by Levenberg because the primary references teaches dyes and stains in general and to select any known dye or stain, such as potassium permanganate as taught by Levenberg with the expected result would have been obvious. No unexpected results are taught or claimed by the selection of potassium permanganate.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Brenner (7,148,033) teaches detecting microorganisms on membranes.

Roth (6,699,685) entitled "Method, Test Media and Chromogenic Compounds for Identifying and Differentiating General Coliforms and E. coli Bacteria" teaches in column 1 last paragraph, the membrane filter method where the sample is passed thru a filter so the bacteria are retained on the surface of the filter. The filter is then incubated. The substrate in the nutrient medium changes color in the presence of specific microorganisms.

Art Unit: 1657

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on (571) 272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ralons

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1657